



Hongmiao Yu

On the weak and strong Lefschetz properties for initial ideals of determinantal ideals with respect to diagonal monomial orders

Politecnico di Torino hongmiao.yu@polito.it



The weak and strong Lefschetz properties

Let $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_N]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring over a field K , I a homogeneous ideal of S and let d be the Krull dimension of S/I . We say that S/I has the **weak Lefschetz property (WLP)** if S/I is Cohen–Macaulay and there exists a linear system of parameters $\underline{\theta} = \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d \in S_1$ of S/I and a linear form $L \in S_1$ such that the multiplication map

$$\times L : (S/(I, \underline{\theta}))_j \longrightarrow (S/(I, \underline{\theta}))_{j+1}$$

has maximal rank for all j , that is, $\times L$ is either injective or surjective; we say that S/I has the **strong Lefschetz property (SLP)** if the multiplication map

$$\times L^s : (S/(I, \underline{\theta}))_j \longrightarrow (S/(I, \underline{\theta}))_{j+s}$$

has maximal rank for all j and for all s .

Lefschetz properties under Gröbner degenerations

Proposition (Wiebe, 2004)

Let $S = K[X_1, \dots, X_N]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring and let I be a homogeneous ideal of S . If $\text{gin}(I)$ is the generic initial ideal of I with respect to the reverse lexicographic order, then S/I has the WLP (resp. SLP) if and only if $S/\text{gin}(I)$ has the WLP (resp. SLP).

Proposition (Wiebe, 2004; Murai, 2010)

Let $I \subseteq S = K[X_1, \dots, X_N]$ be a homogeneous ideal and let $\text{in}_{<}(I)$ be the initial ideal of I with respect to a term order $<$. If $S/\text{in}_{<}(I)$ has the WLP (resp. SLP), then the same holds for S/I .

However, in general,

S/I has the WLP (resp. SLP) $\not\Rightarrow S/\text{in}_{<}(I)$ has the WLP (resp. SLP)

Question (Murai, 2018)

Suppose that $\text{in}_{<}(I)$ is a **square-free** monomial ideal and that S/I has the WLP (resp. SLP). Does it follow that $S/\text{in}_{<}(I)$ also has the WLP (resp. SLP)?

Why “square-free”?

Theorem (Conca-Varbaro, 2020)

Let I be a homogeneous ideal of S such that $\text{in}_{<}(I)$ is a square-free monomial ideal for some term order $<$. Then S/I is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if $S/\text{in}_{<}(I)$ is Cohen–Macaulay.

Answering Murai’s question negatively

Soll and Welker defined a monomial order $\preceq$ and conjectured that Assume that $m = n$. The simplicial complex Δ defined by $\text{in}_{\preceq}(I_t)$ is a simplicial $(d-1)$ -sphere for all $t \leq n$.

In 2009, Rubey and Stump provided a complete proof of this conjecture¹.

Moreover, a result of Adiprasito states that

if Δ is a simplicial $(d-1)$ -sphere, then $K[\Delta]$ has the SLP.

Consequently, $R/\text{in}_{\preceq}(I_t)$ has the SLP for all $t \leq n = m$. By the previous result of Murai, it follows that R/I_t also has the SLP for all $t \leq n = m$. Therefore, for $m = n \geq t+2$, the Main Theorem provides a family of ideals I_t such that

R/I_t has the SLP and $\text{in}_{\preceq}(I_t)$ is square-free, but $R/\text{in}_{\preceq}(I_t)$ fails the WLP.

1. However, this proof was not formally published.

Setup and notations

- ▶ $X = (X_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n}$ is an $m \times n$ matrix of indeterminates;
- ▶ $R = K[X] = K[X_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n]$ is a standard graded polynomial ring over a field of characteristic zero;
- ▶ for a positive integer $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2 \leq t \leq \min\{m, n\}$ and $t < \max\{m, n\}$, I_t is the ideal of R generated by t -minors of X ;
- ▶ denote by $\text{in}(I_t)$ the initial ideal of I_t with respect to a *diagonal monomial order*.

Lefschetz properties for $R/\text{in}(I_t)$: Case $t = \min\{m, n\}$

It is known that

if $t = \min\{m, n\}$, then $\text{in}(I_t)$ has a t -linear resolution.

Moreover, a result proved by Cavaliere, Rossi, and Valla in 1990 implies that if S/I is Artinian, the ideal I has a d -linear resolution if and only if $I = \mathfrak{m}^d$. Since $S/\mathfrak{m}^d$ has the SLP for all d , it follows that

Theorem (-)

Let $R = K[X] = K[X_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n]$ be a standard graded polynomial ring and $t = \min\{m, n\}$. Then $R/\text{in}(I_t)$ has the SLP.

Lefschetz properties for $R/\text{in}(I_t)$: Case $t < \min\{m, n\}$

Lemma (-)

For $2 \leq t < \min\{m, n\}$, if one of the following conditions holds:

- i) $t = 2$ and $mn \geq 16$,
 - ii) $t = 3$ and $mn \geq 24$,
 - iii) $t = 4$ and $mn \geq 35$,
 - iv) $t \geq 5$ and $mn \geq (t+1)(t+2)$,
- then $\text{HF}(R/(\text{in}(I_t), \underline{\theta}), t-1) \leq \text{HF}(R/(\text{in}(I_t), \underline{\theta}), t)$.

Lemma (-)

For all t such that $2 \leq t \leq \min\{m, n\}$ and $t < \max\{m, n\}$, the graded Betti number

$$\beta_{h, h+t-1}(R/\text{in}(I_t)) \geq t,$$

where $h = (m-t+1)(n-t+1)$ is the height of I_t .

Moreover, a Macaulay2 computation showed that if $t = 4$ and $(m, n) \in \{(5, 6), (6, 5)\}$, then $R/\text{in}(I_4)$ fails the WLP. Therefore,

Main Theorem (-)

Let $2 \leq t < \min\{m, n\}$. Then $R/\text{in}(I_t)$ fails the WLP if one of the following conditions holds:

- i) $t = 2$ and $mn \geq 16$,
- ii) $t = 3$ and $mn \geq 24$,
- iii) $t \geq 4$ and $mn \geq (t+1)(t+2)$.

In particular, if $m = n$, then $R/\text{in}(I_t)$ fails the WLP for all $n \geq t+2$.

On the sharpness of the bound in the Main Theorem

One can verify using Macaulay2 computations that

- ▶ if $t = 2$ and $mn \leq 15$, then $R/\text{in}(I_t)$ has the SLP;
- ▶ if $t = 3$ and $(m, n) \in \{(4, 5), (5, 4)\}$, then $R/\text{in}(I_3)$ has the WLP;
- ▶ for a specific integer $2 \leq t = m-1 = n-1$, we have that $R/\text{in}(I_t)$ has the SLP.

Therefore, if the following question has a positive answer:

Does the equality $\text{gin}(\text{in}(I_t)) = \text{gin}(I_t)$ hold for all $t = m-1 = n-1$? then the bound provided in the Main Theorem is sharp.